



## **Test Report**

DATE ISSUED: 8 November 2013

**ITEM(S) TESTED**: Cosydome, generic recessed luminaire barrier

CLIENT'S NAME:

Cosydome PO Box 30095 St Martins Christchurch 8246

Attention: Paul Hill

CLIENT'S REFERENCE: Email Dated 23 October 2013

**TEST SPECIFICATION:** 

AS/NZS 5110:2011. Recessed Luminaire Barriers including Amendment No.1 (AS/NZS 5110/Amdt 1/2013-02-25)

DATE OF TEST COMPLETION: 7

7 November 2013

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**: The sample barriers tested complied with the requirements of the standard.

Powelab Signatory: G I Dix

Checked By:

K Manson

2 Man

PowerLab Limited, PO Box 31034 Christchurch 8444 New Zealand. 5 Sheffield Crescent Christchurch New Zealand, info@powerlab.co.nz. This report must not be quoted except in full.

Page 1 of 9 8 November 2013

PowerLab

## Section 1 Scope and General

Section	Comment	Result
1.1 General		Noted
1.2 Normative references		Noted
1.3 Definitions		Noted

## Section 2 Classification of Barriers

Section	Comment	Result
2.1 General		Complies
2.2 Depth classificat	tion	Complies
2.2.1 200mm not co 2.2.2 Not applicable 2.2.3 Not classified	overed e (NA) as coverable	
2.3 Ingress classific	ation	Complies
<ul><li>2.3.1 Low ingress pr</li><li>2.3.2 NA</li><li>2.3.3 NA</li><li>2.3.4 Low ingress pr</li><li>Applicable up to rate fitted.</li></ul>	rotection rotruding protection ed 70mm luminaire penetration area with Dynamic Val	ve part
2.4 Not used		Noted
2.5 Installation class	sification	Complies
2.5.1 Installation fro 2.5.2 NA	m above	
2.6 Impact classifica	ation	Complies
2.6.1 Light Impact – 2.6.2 Medium impac 2.6.3 NA	withstood test. ct – withstood test.	
2.7 Insulation classi	fication	Complies

2.9.1 Protruding 2.9.2 Semi-protruding 2.9.3 NA

2.7.1 NA 2.7.2 Unrestricted	
2.8 Luminaire Suitability classification	Complies
2.8.1 NA 2.8.2 NA 2.8.3 Generic 2.8.3.2 Applicable 2.8.3.3 Applicable	
2.9 Protrusion classification	Complies

PowerLab

## Section 3 Marking

Section	Comment	Result
3.1 General		Noted
3.2 Marking on barr	iers	Complies
<ul> <li>(a) COSYDOME</li> <li>(b) DYNAMIC -RLB</li> <li>(c) Moulded line production</li> <li>(d) DO NOT COVER</li> <li>(e) NA</li> <li>(f) NA</li> <li>(g) NA</li> <li>(h) Suitable for directed depth of 200mm</li> <li>(i) NA</li> <li>(j) Provided in prometication</li> <li>(k) Not used</li> <li>(l) Not used</li> <li>(m)NA</li> <li>(n) NA</li> <li>(o) LOW INGRESS</li> <li>(p) GENERIC BARF</li> </ul>	ovided R ct abutment to normally flammable building elements o notional material , PROTRUDING PROTECTION RIER 125mm-105W max. 90mm-50W max	r insulation
3.3 Additional inform	nation	Complies
3.3.1 Caution inform 3.3.2 NA 3.3.3 NA	nation provided	

3.3.4 Generic barrier information provided

3.4 Compliance

Complies

## Section 4 Construction

Section	Comment		Result
4.1 General			Noted
4.2 Means of attac Mounting tabs white Refer to clause 5.4	hment ch can make use of s t below.	screw or glue are provided.	complies
4.3 Prevention of in Refer to clause 5.5	ngress of flammable 5 below.	materials	Complies
4.4 Screws and co None, not applicab	nnections (mechanic ble.	cal)	Noted
4.5 Electrical conn None, not applicab	ection/components ble.		Noted
4.6 Generic barrier	r clearance		Complies
The diameter of the assessed barrier (a	e generic luminaires approximately 230 m	is less than the internal diameter of m) by at least 20mm.	the
4.7 Low ingress pr	otruding barrier		Complies
(a) Top 75mm abo	ve and 80% open fo	r rated sizes.	

(b) Designed 10mm can be maintained (refer to 4.6 above)

Powerlab

### Section 5 Testing

Section	Comment	Result
5.1 General		Noted
5.2 Marking Durability test		Complies
The marking i	is moulded in plastic, withstood tests.	
5.3 Preconditioning		Complies

Preconditioned as specified.

#### 5.4 Mechanical Strength test

The mounted as specified barrier withstood the 1J and 5J impact tests required for low impact and medium impact classifications.

#### 5.5 Ingress test

The 5.6mm diameter probe for the low ingress protection could not contact the specified surfaces.

#### 5.6 Thermal test – Normal operation

A luminaire per figure 4 was incorporated in a test box per figure 3 and a lamp per Clause 5.6.1.1 was operated until temperatures stabilized.

Note per Client's instruction: the barrier was offset such that the luminaire was close to the internal surface of the barrier (worst case refer to paragraph 3 clause 5.4.3 of the specification).

Temperature on barrier outside surface: 94 °C

Temperature on the mounting surface: 91 °C

Allowed maximum temperature: 90+5 °C

No damage such as scorching, deforming or melting occurred. No thermal cutout/protective devices operated.

#### 5.7 Thermal test – Abnormal operation

A luminaire per figure 4 was incorporated in a test box per figure 3 completely filled with insulation and a lamp per Clause 5.6.1.1 was operated until temperatures stabilized.

#### Complies

#### Complies

Noted

## Complies

Note per Client's instruction: the barrier was offset such that the luminaire was close to the internal surface of the barrier.

Temperature on barrier outside surface: 105 °C

Temperature on the mounting surface: 113 °C

Allowed maximum temperature: 130+5 °C

No damage such as scorching, deforming or melting occurred. No thermal cutout/protective devices operated.

#### 5.8 Resistance to Flame and Ignition

# Requirement is that all flames should extinguish within 30s after removal of the glow wire tip and flaming droplets shall not ignite the tissue paper.

Results

Part tested	Glowire/Needle Flame	Flame / Extinguish on removal of glow wire tip or needle flame	Droplets	Tissue Ignition
Main body	750°C	Yes / Yes	No	NA
	960°C	Yes / Yes	No	NA
	Needle Flame	No / NA	No	NA
Valve Cap Lid	750°C	Yes / Yes	No	NA
	960°C	Yes / Yes	No	NA
	Needle Flame	Yes / Yes	No	NA
Valve Cap Frame	750°C	Yes / Yes	No	NA
	960°C	Yes / Yes	No	NA
	Needle Flame	Yes / Yes	No	NA

#### 5.9 Accelerated Ageing test

Noted

No test specified.

Complies



## Photographs



Page 8 of 9 8 November 2013

PowerLab

